

TITLE:

Replicated Dryland Cotton Systems Variety Trial Demonstration, AG-CARES, Lamesa, TX, 2003.

AUTHORS:

Randy Boman, John Farris, Mark Stelter, Mark Kelley, and Tommy Doederlein; Extension Agronomist-Cotton, CEA-Agriculture Dawson County, Extension Assistant-Cotton, Extension Program Specialist-Cotton, and EA-IPM Dawson/Lynn Counties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Varieties: AFD 2485, All-Tex Atlas RR, Beltwide Cotton Genetics 24R, Deltapine 5415RR, Douglas King CT210, FiberMax 958, Paymaster 2379RR, Paymaster 2326RR, Paymaster HS26, and Stoneville 5303R

Experimental design: Randomized complete block with 3 replications

Seeding rate: 4 seed per row-foot in 40-inch row spacing (John Deere Max Emerge vacuum planter)

Plot size: 4 rows by length of field (~800 ft)

Planting date: May 30

Weed management: Treflan was applied preplant incorporated at 1 pt/acre across all varieties on April 30. Roundup WeatherMax herbicide was broadcast applied on Roundup Ready varieties on June 26 at 22 oz/acre. A post directed application of Roundup WeatherMax was applied on August 13 at 22 oz/acre on Roundup Ready varieties. Spot spraying was conducted on conventional varieties on July 22, using a 1 percent solution. All varieties were uniformly cultivated one time, and the conventional types received an additional cultivation.

Rainfall:	April:	0.42"	July:	0.00"
	May:	4.50"	August:	2.29"
	June:	1.80"	September:	1.67"
	Total moisture:	10.68"		

Insecticides: No insecticides were applied at this site. This location is in a active boll weevil eradication zone, but no applications were made by the Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Program.

Fertilizer management: No fertilizers were applied at this site.

Harvest aids: Harvest aids included Gramoxone Max was applied at 26 oz/acre on November 17.

Harvest: Plots were harvested on November 19 using a commercial John Deere 7445 with field cleaner bypassed. Harvested material was dumped into a weigh wagon with integral digital scales to determine individual plot weights. Plot yields were adjusted to lb/acre.

Gin turnout:	Grab samples were taken by plot and ginned at the Texas A&M Center at Lubbock to determine gin turnouts.
Fiber analysis:	Lint samples were submitted to the International Textile Center (ITC) at Texas Tech University for HVI analysis, and USDA loan values were determined for each variety by plot.
Ginning costs: and seed values:	Ginning costs were based on \$2.25 per cwt. of bur cotton and \$125/ton for seed value. Ginning costs do not include checkoff.
Systems costs:	Systems cost was determined by variety per acre using manufacturer's suggested retail prices for seed, and appropriate technology fees for Roundup Ready based on the 4 seed per row-foot.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Weed pressure at this site would generally be considered light and consisted mainly of silverleaf nightshade, and pigweed "escapes." Significant differences were noted for most characteristics measured (Tables 1 and 2). Lint turnout ranged from 24.2% to 28.0%. Lint yields varied from a low of 364 lb/acre to a high of 520 lb/acre. Lint loan values varied from a low of \$0.4836/lb to a high of \$0.5521/lb. Lint Loan values were generally very high, with the exception of discounts for high micronaire in some replications of Paymaster 2379RR (average 4.9). Micronaire ranged from a low of 3.5 units to a high of 4.9 units. After adding lint and seed value, total value/acre for varieties ranged from a low of \$229.42 to a high of \$329.26. When subtracting ginning and systems costs, the net value/acre among varieties ranged from a high of \$263.81 to \$152.82, a difference of \$110.99. These data indicate that substantial differences can be obtained in terms of net value/acre due to variety and technology selection. It should be noted that some inclement weather was encountered in this trial prior to harvest. None of the picker type varieties experience preharvest losses due to weather conditions, and high intensity rainfall and/or high wind events were not excessive. Additional multi-site and multi-year applied research is needed to evaluate varieties across a series of environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

Appreciation is expressed to Danny Carmichael, Research Associate - AG-CARES; and John Everitt, Research Associate, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, for their assistance on this project and to Dr. John Gannaway, TAES, Lubbock for his cooperation.

DISCLAIMER CLAUSE:

Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.

Table 1. Results from the dryland replicated cotton systems variety demonstration, AG-CARES, 2003.

Variety	Lint turnout %	Seed turnout %	Bur cotton yield lb/acre	Lint yield lb/acre	Seed yield lb/acre	Lint loan value \$/lb	Lint value \$/acre	Seed value \$/acre	Total value \$/acre	Ginning cost \$/acre	Systems cost \$/acre	Net value \$/acre
FM 958	28.0	42.3	1857	520	787	0.5385	280.05	49.20	329.26	41.79	23.65	263.81 a
AFD 2485	26.9	41.0	1896	511	778	0.5393	276.27	48.63	324.90	42.68	18.75	263.47 a
BCG 24R	27.3	43.8	1835	501	805	0.5388	269.98	50.35	320.34	41.30	49.24	229.79 ab
Douglas King CT210	24.2	42.8	1842	446	789	0.5240	234.75	49.32	284.07	41.45	22.35	220.27 abc
ST 5303R	26.8	43.6	1815	486	793	0.5270	255.11	49.55	304.66	40.85	53.41	210.40 bcd
DP 5415RR	26.7	43.8	1693	453	743	0.5521	250.06	46.44	296.51	38.09	50.26	208.15 bcd
PM HS26	25.0	44.7	1456	364	651	0.5241	190.93	40.73	231.68	32.76	21.94	176.97 ced
PM 2326RR	25.7	44.6	1580	407	706	0.5011	203.24	44.11	247.34	35.55	43.13	168.67 ed
All-Tex Atlas RR	26.0	45.5	1514	394	689	0.4931	194.24	43.07	237.31	34.07	42.54	160.70 e
PM 2379RR	26.4	45.3	1470	389	667	0.4836	187.72	41.70	229.42	33.07	43.53	152.82 e
Test average	26.3	43.7	1696	447	741	0.5222	234.24	46.31	280.55	38.16	36.88	205.51
CV, %	3.2	2.6	10.4	10.5	10.4	5.3	11.2	10.4	10.9	10.4	--	13.1
OSL	0.0018	0.0041	0.0242	0.0041	0.1706	0.1142	0.0006	0.1720	0.0014	0.0241	--	0.0004
LSD 0.05	1.4	2.0	303	81	NS	NS	45.15	NS	52.63	6.81	--	46.46

For net value/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level.

CV - coefficient of variation, LSD - least significant difference.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a great F value.

Note: some columns may not add up due to rounding error.

Assumes:

\$2.25/cwt ginning cost.

\$125/ton for seed.

Value for lint based on CCC loan value from grab samples and ITC HVI results.

Table 2. HVI fiber property results from the dryland replicated cotton systems variety demonstration, AG-CARES, 2003.

Variety	Micronaire	Staple	Uniformity	Strength	Elongation	Leaf	Rd	+b	Color grade	
	units	32nds inches	%	g/tex	%	grade	reflectance	yellowness	color 1	color 2
AFD 2485	4.7	36.2	82.9	32.1	3.8	1.3	76.2	7.4	3.6	1.0
All-Tex Atlas RR	4.5	32.6	81.5	29.5	5.9	2.0	73.4	7.7	4.0	1.0
BCG 24R	4.5	34.2	81.7	28.8	6.6	1.0	78.6	7.7	3.0	1.0
Douglas King CT210	3.5	34.7	80.1	29.1	6.2	1.0	79.2	7.6	2.6	1.0
DP 5415RR	4.3	35.3	81.2	29.1	6.5	1.0	77.9	7.8	3.0	1.0
FM 958	4.7	36.2	82.6	32.6	3.7	1.6	76.8	7.2	3.6	1.0
PM HS26	4.7	33.3	82.8	30.9	6.6	1.3	75.7	7.8	3.6	1.0
PM 2326RR	4.8	33.7	82.9	30.7	5.6	1.3	74.4	7.7	4.0	1.0
PM 2379RR	4.9	32.8	82.2	29.2	7.6	1.6	75.8	7.9	3.6	1.0
ST 5303R	4.8	34.2	82.7	31.6	5.2	1.0	77.0	7.9	3.3	1.0
Test average	4.5	34.3	82.1	30.4	5.8	1.3	76.5	7.7	3.4	1.0
CV, %	5.3	1.5	0.7	1.6	4.6	30.9	1.2	2.2	12.7	--
OSL	0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0769	<0.0001	0.0009	0.0198	--
LSD 0.05	0.4	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.4	NS	1.5	0.2	0.8	--

CV - coefficient of variation.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.

LSD - least significant difference.